

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
ACC	2013	Petro, Louis	20	30.0%	3.67	3.50	3.50	3.67	3.50	3.67	3.58	3.83	3.67	3.50	3.67	3.83	3.50	3.67
	2013	Westbrook-Rawls, Sheryl	10	50.0%	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.57	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.47
	5003	Kirkwood, Virginia	20	25.0%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.77	4.80	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.63
	6003	Petro, Louis	13	15.4%	1.50	2.50	2.00	2.50	1.00	1.50	1.83	4.50	1.50	4.00	2.50	4.00	2.50	3.17
ARC	1012	Rudy, Gretchen	101	42.6%	4.44	4.40	4.38	4.40	4.16	4.35	4.35	4.72	4.53	4.56	4.47	4.60	4.58	4.58
	1213	Blendowski, Aaron	13	61.5%	3.38	3.38	4.13	3.00	4.38	4.13	3.73	4.13	3.75	3.88	3.88	3.88	4.25	3.96
	1213	Crutcher, Kenneth	12	50.0%	4.33	3.83	4.33	3.83	3.83	4.17	4.06	4.50	3.67	4.33	4.17	4.33	4.50	4.25
	1213	Haam, Songho	12	50.0%	3.00	3.83	3.83	2.00	3.00	3.19	3.19	3.40	2.50	3.17	2.17	3.00	2.67	2.71
	1213	Lichomski, Peter	8	62.5%	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.67
	1213	Maricak, Gretchen	13	61.5%	4.00	3.75	4.38	3.88	3.88	3.88	3.96	4.38	4.00	4.13	4.00	4.38	4.13	4.17
	1213	Zmuda, Frank	15	40.0%	4.67	4.50	4.83	4.33	4.67	4.83	4.64	4.83	4.50	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.78
	1223	Bales, Fernando	17	41.2%	3.29	3.86	3.29	3.57	4.29	3.57	3.64	3.71	3.14	4.29	3.43	4.29	3.57	3.74
	2116	Bales, Fernando	11	45.5%	3.80	3.80	4.00	3.20	4.20	4.00	3.83	4.00	3.20	3.20	3.80	4.40	4.00	3.77
	2116	Dineen, Mark	21	57.1%	4.25	4.25	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.42	4.26	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.17	4.42	4.50	4.40
	2116	Frederiksen, Jhana	11	36.4%	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.46	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.46
	2116	Haam, Songho	12	58.3%	3.29	3.17	3.29	2.86	3.86	3.43	3.31	3.71	2.86	3.29	2.57	4.00	3.29	3.29
	2116	Osler, Peter	25	44.0%	3.73	3.91	4.00	3.70	4.09	3.91	3.89	4.73	4.00	4.27	3.82	4.45	3.82	4.18
	2116	Schwartz, Martin	12	50.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.67	4.50	4.44	5.00	4.33	4.83	4.17	5.00	4.67	4.67
	2116	Stevens, James	14	35.7%	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.57	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.20	4.80	4.60	4.50
	2116	Wong, Margaret	10	80.0%	3.25	3.57	3.25	3.00	3.43	3.25	3.22	4.25	3.50	3.75	3.00	4.13	4.00	3.77
	2313	Goldsmith, Paul	6	50.0%	2.67	3.00	3.33	2.67	2.67	3.00	2.89	4.00	1.33	2.67	1.33	3.00	2.00	2.39
	2313	Herzog, Ronald	9	66.7%	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.17	4.67	4.25	4.50	4.17	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.50
	2313	Murrell, Eric	7	42.9%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.39
	2313	Ragheb, Ashraf	22	86.4%	4.32	4.37	4.53	4.32	4.26	4.53	4.39	4.47	4.42	4.58	4.37	4.63	4.68	4.53
	2313	Tiseo, Benedetto	8	75.0%	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.00	4.50	4.17	4.22	4.33	3.67	4.00	3.33	4.17	3.50	3.83
	2323	Mosley, Michael	6	16.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	2513	Faoro, Daniel	18	55.6%	4.30	3.80	4.10	3.90	3.70	4.10	3.98	4.80	4.20	4.20	4.10	3.60	4.20	4.18
	2513	Shih, Kelchen	10	80.0%	3.63	3.50	3.75	3.25	3.63	3.63	3.56	4.00	3.13	3.88	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.71
	2813	AbuSeir, Zain	13	53.8%	3.29	3.29	3.57	3.71	4.00	3.29	3.49	4.29	3.57	4.29	4.00	4.29	3.86	4.05
	2813	Jones, Aaron	15	46.7%	3.71	4.00	4.29	3.71	4.29	4.14	4.02	4.57	3.71	4.29	4.14	4.57	4.29	4.26
	2813	Kamath, Ayodh	20	45.0%	4.11	4.33	4.22	3.89	3.89	3.78	4.04	4.56	4.00	4.67	4.22	4.56	4.44	4.41
	2843	Grant, Janice	16	56.3%	3.89	4.00	4.00	3.89	3.78	3.89	3.91	4.50	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.44
	3116	Craig, Jack	12	75.0%	4.56	4.50	4.56	3.89	4.44	4.67	4.44	4.78	4.11	4.67	3.89	4.78	4.67	4.48
	3116	Eichenlaub, Beverly	7	14.3%	2.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.33
	3116	O'Geen, Charles	24	62.5%	4.20	4.29	4.20	3.71	4.00	4.27	4.12	4.47	4.00	3.67	3.73	4.40	4.27	4.09
	3116	Schneemann, Steven	25	60.0%	3.87	3.93	3.93	3.50	3.87	3.93	3.84	4.40	3.73	3.87	3.43	4.20	4.13	3.97
	3116	Stevens, James	13	61.5%	4.50	4.25	4.38	4.13	4.50	4.38	4.35	4.63	4.38	4.50	4.13	4.25	4.50	4.40
	3116	Wong, Margaret	12	33.3%	4.50	4.50	4.50	3.75	3.75	4.25	4.21	5.00	4.75	4.50	4.25	4.75	4.75	4.67
	3126	Bejo, Irsida	3	100.0%	2.67	3.33	3.67	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.28	4.67	2.67	4.33	3.33	4.33	3.67	3.83
	3126	Chelaidite, Alina	3	100.0%	2.67	3.67	3.33	3.00	3.67	3.33	3.28	4.67	4.00	4.67	3.33	4.67	4.33	4.28
	3126	LeRoy, Glen	6	50.0%	3.33	3.33	3.67	3.33	4.00	2.67	3.28	4.00	3.33	3.33	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.61
	3213	Haddad, Natalie	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
3423	McKale, Dennis	18	61.1%	3.82	3.64	3.82	3.45	3.82	3.18	3.62	4.27	3.64	4.18	3.82	4.00	3.91	3.97	
3423	Means, Janice	17	64.7%	4.09	4.00	4.18	4.18	3.64	3.73	3.97	4.18	3.27	3.64	3.64	4.20	3.40	3.67	
3513	Habba, Faris	31	58.1%	4.44	4.39	4.28	4.56	4.50	4.39	4.43	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	
3513	Shih, Kelchen	16	62.5%	3.40	3.20	3.20	3.40	2.90	3.00	3.18	3.90	3.10	3.90	3.60	3.80	3.50	3.63	
3613	Gyure, Dale	47	55.3%	4.31	4.42	4.35	4.19	4.35	4.23	4.31	4.69	4.38	4.23	4.35	4.54	4.38	4.43	
3613	Voronovich, Donna	22	59.1%	4.46	4.46	4.38	4.46	4.31	4.38	4.41	4.77	4.54	4.62	4.54	4.54	4.54	4.59	
3623	Hennebury, Deirdre	18	50.0%	4.67	4.67	4.44	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.85	
3823	Chidester, Jacob	15	53.3%	4.88	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.71	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
3823	Kamath, Ayodh	16	62.5%	3.90	3.50	3.90	3.90	3.40	3.50	3.63	4.40	3.70	4.00	4.10	4.40	3.90	4.08	
3823	Oltrogge, Brian	14	78.6%	4.45	4.00	4.27	4.36	4.45	4.18	4.29	5.00	4.91	4.82	4.55	4.91	4.91	4.85	

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
	3823	Roberson, Pierre	13	46.2%	3.50	3.50	3.67	3.50	3.50	3.33	3.50	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.22
	3823	Wang, Paul	8	87.5%	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.64	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	5.00	5.00	4.90
	3843	Hall, Alan	12	100.0%	4.25	3.75	4.00	4.25	3.67	3.50	3.90	4.17	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.08	4.13
	3993	O'Geen, Charles	4	75.0%	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.22
	3993	Wang, Paul	5	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.97	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.93
	4114	Nashlen, Thomas	18	55.6%	4.60	4.50	4.40	4.30	4.00	4.40	4.37	4.70	4.30	4.20	4.30	4.70	4.60	4.47
	4116	Bodurow, Constance	6	66.7%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.04	4.25	4.25	3.50	3.50	4.50	3.75	3.96
	4116	Carpenter, Donald	6	66.7%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.04	4.75	4.25	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.67
	4116	Moore, Dorian	4	25.0%	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	4116	Nunez, Ralph	6	83.3%	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.80	4.63	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.80	5.00	4.77
	4116	Orlowski, Edward	6	83.3%	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.20	4.60	4.53	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.63
	4126	Faoro, Daniel	4	100.0%	3.50	4.00	3.50	3.50	2.25	3.25	3.33	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.38
	4126	Nashlen, Thomas	4	100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.33
	4126	Ragheb, Ashraf	4	100.0%	3.50	3.25	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.46	3.75	3.25	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50
	4183	Gyure, Dale	18	61.1%	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.18	4.18	4.36	4.35	4.73	4.55	4.55	4.27	4.45	4.48
	4183	Hennebury, Deirdre	16	56.3%	4.78	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.89	4.78	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	4183	Swift, Amy	11	63.6%	4.86	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.64	4.86	4.43	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.71
	4224	Bodurow, Constance	8	37.5%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.67	4.33	4.33
	4224	Kim, Joongsub	3	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	4224	Orlowski, Edward	9	66.7%	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.78	4.50	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
	4234	Deines, Kelly	8	50.0%	3.75	4.50	3.75	3.25	4.00	4.25	3.92	5.00	4.25	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.50	4.63
	4234	Lammers, Barbara	6	100.0%	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.67	3.33	3.33	4.19	5.00	4.17	3.50	4.17	4.67	4.17	4.19
	4284	Wang, Paul	10	100.0%	4.90	4.80	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.88	4.90	4.80	4.80	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.87
	4443	Oranchak, Joseph	18	66.7%	4.08	3.75	3.75	4.17	3.97	3.58	3.83	4.00	3.08	3.75	3.58	3.17	3.25	3.47
	4443	Paterson, David	18	83.3%	4.20	4.13	4.20	4.27	4.27	4.20	4.21	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.47	4.73	4.53	4.52
	4543	Faoro, Daniel	15	73.3%	4.73	4.64	4.64	4.55	4.73	4.45	4.62	4.82	4.64	4.45	4.64	4.55	4.55	4.61
	4543	Makkawy, Abdel	25	44.0%	4.27	4.27	4.45	4.45	4.27	4.45	4.36	4.64	4.36	4.55	4.45	4.73	4.64	4.56
	4813	Grant, Janice	7	42.9%	4.67	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.39	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.72
	4843	Hall, Alan	10	90.0%	4.56	4.33	4.22	4.44	4.11	4.11	4.30	4.67	4.33	4.56	4.44	4.38	4.56	4.50
	5013	Adhya, Anirban	12	50.0%	4.17	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.39	4.83	4.50	4.17	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.53
	5013	Ferguson, Justin	10	100.0%	4.80	4.70	4.80	4.70	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.90	4.90	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.90	4.95
	5013	Siegel, Jennifer	15	40.0%	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.00	4.36	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
	5034	Eichenlaub, Beverly	9	66.7%	2.83	3.17	3.00	1.83	2.17	2.50	2.58	2.67	1.83	3.17	2.17	2.67	2.33	2.47
	5202	Haddad, Natalie	6	66.7%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
	5202	Stevens, James	6	33.3%	4.50	3.50	4.50	3.50	4.50	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.58
	5242	Kim, Joongsub	3	66.7%	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	5423	Allen, William	12	41.7%	4.60	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.90	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.60	5.00	5.00	4.80
	5423	Bodurow, Constance	10	10.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	5622	Keslacy, Elizabeth	12	75.0%	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.78	4.22	4.67	4.72	4.89	4.67	4.44	4.67	4.56	4.56	4.63
	5643	Hennebury, Deirdre	11	81.8%	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	5.00	4.78	4.89	4.67	4.89	4.89	4.85
	5643	Roberts, Laura	9	66.7%	4.17	4.33	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.31	4.50	4.17	4.17	3.80	4.33	4.17	4.21
	5643	Siegel, Jennifer	15	53.3%	4.13	4.25	4.25	3.88	4.13	4.13	4.13	4.13	3.88	3.38	3.88	4.43	3.88	3.88
	5693	Bodurow, Constance	9	44.4%	3.50	4.25	3.50	3.50	4.50	4.50	4.13	4.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.75	3.75	3.75
	5714	Kim, Joongsub	7	57.1%	2.50	2.67	2.75	3.00	2.50	2.75	2.71	3.00	2.75	3.00	3.00	3.25	2.75	2.96
	5742	Martin, Jordan	10	60.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.00	4.40	4.24	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.47
	5814	Abela, John	12	91.7%	4.73	4.55	4.55	4.55	4.73	4.73	4.64	4.82	4.82	4.45	4.55	4.82	4.82	4.71
	5814	Adhya, Anirban	6	16.7%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	3.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.33
	5814	Colon, Jason	5	20.0%	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	5814	Deines, Amy	9	33.3%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
	5814	Farlow, Mark	11	72.7%	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.63	4.75	4.67	4.88	4.63	4.88	4.63	4.88	4.88	4.79
	5814	Jones, Aaron	9	33.3%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.78	
	5814	Shall, Scott	11	54.5%	3.00	3.17	3.17	3.17	3.17	3.33	3.17	3.83	3.50	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.00	4.00
	5814	Stevens, James	11	54.5%	3.00	3.17	3.33	3.33	3.00	3.17	3.17	4.17	3.83	3.67	3.83	4.17	3.67	3.89

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

		Participation		The Course							The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
	5814	Torres, Juan	5	40.0%	4.50	5.00	4.50	3.50	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.17
	5814	Wang, Paul	11	54.5%	3.17	3.60	3.00	3.17	3.17	3.33	3.28	4.00	3.50	3.33	3.50	3.67	3.17	3.53
	5913	Ward, Eric	22	54.5%	4.17	4.25	4.33	4.17	4.08	4.17	4.19	4.25	3.92	4.25	4.17	4.17	4.08	4.14
	5942	Herzog, Ronald	8	50.0%	4.25	4.75	4.75	4.25	4.75	4.75	4.58	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.83
	5952	Harmala, John	10	60.0%	3.83	4.50	4.83	4.83	4.33	4.50	4.47	4.83	4.50	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.72
	6002	Hennebury, Deirdre	14	71.4%	4.70	4.70	4.80	4.30	4.40	4.60	4.58	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.50	4.62
	6002	Ziegelman, Robert	3	100.0%	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.78	5.00	4.33	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.83
	6003	Chidester, Jacob	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	6003	Grant, Janice	11	63.6%	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.45	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.55
	6003	Kamath, Ayodh	4	25.0%	5.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83
	6003	O'Geen, Charles	3	33.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00		3.00	4.00	3.80	5.00	4.00	4.00		5.00	5.00	4.60
	6524	Feng, Jin	2	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
	6882	Hanna, Vance	4	75.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	6884	Nelson, Ralph	7	85.7%	4.33	4.17	4.67	4.17	4.33	4.50	4.36	4.67	4.67	4.17	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.36
	6903	DiLaura, Lenard	3	66.7%	5.00	4.50	4.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	6912	Bohde, Matthew	12	58.3%	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.40	4.57	4.00	3.14	3.29	3.29	3.71	3.67
ARI	3113	Wilkinson-Burke, Anne	16	68.8%	3.73	3.64	3.82	3.55	3.73	3.91	3.73	3.73	3.64	2.64	3.36	3.09	3.09	3.26
	3114	Phillips, Keith	15	66.7%	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.10	4.40	4.40	4.35	4.80	4.40	4.20	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.40
	4113	Feng, Jin	11	90.9%	3.40	4.00	3.90	3.90	3.60	3.40	3.70	3.90	4.00	4.40	3.80	3.80	3.70	3.93
	4143	Feng, Jin	14	78.6%	4.00	4.09	4.27	3.91	4.27	4.27	4.14	4.36	4.18	4.27	4.18	4.36	4.27	4.27
	4223	DiLaura, Lenard	6	50.0%	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.78
	4922	DiLaura, Lenard	4	75.0%	5.00	4.50	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.78
	5113	Feng, Jin	3	66.7%	4.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.42	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.25
	5143	Feng, Jin	4	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.33
	5234	Lammers, Barbara	2	100.0%	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50
	5622	Wilkinson-Burke, Anne	12	58.3%	4.43	4.00	4.43	4.14	4.57	4.43	4.33	4.71	4.29	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.50
	5814	Marks, Keith	4	75.0%	1.33	1.67	1.00	1.33	1.67	1.33	1.47	2.67	2.00	3.00	1.67	2.33	2.00	2.28
ART	1113	Dilaura, Vicki	14	35.7%	3.80	4.20	4.00	3.60	4.40	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.20	3.80	3.20	3.80	3.60	3.60
	1113	DuBois, Brian	10	60.0%	4.33	4.17	4.17	3.17	4.67	4.17	4.11	4.67	3.83	4.33	3.67	4.33	4.17	4.17
	1113	Hanna, Vance	13	38.5%	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.00	4.60	4.80	4.47	5.00	4.00	4.40	4.00	4.80	4.80	4.50
	1113	Rudy, Gretchen	15	53.3%	4.25	4.50	4.50	3.88	4.50	4.25	4.31	4.50	4.38	4.75	4.00	4.63	4.25	4.42
	1113	Storm, Dean	16	56.3%	4.33	4.33	4.56	4.44	4.67	4.56	4.48	4.67	4.67	4.89	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.70
	1113	Taylor, George	12	41.7%	3.80	4.00	3.80	2.60	4.20	4.40	3.79	4.00	3.00	3.80	3.80	3.80	4.40	3.80
	1113	Welch, Elizabeth	15	73.3%	4.73	4.45	4.91	4.82	4.82	4.82	4.76	4.91	4.73	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.88
	1133	Ross, Shannon	8	62.5%	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.80	4.40	4.40	4.43	4.60	4.60	5.00	4.60	4.20	4.40	4.57
	2053	Safioulline, Maxim	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.50
	2113	Craig, Jack	11	18.2%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.33
	2113	Hanna, Vance	6	33.3%	3.50	4.00	4.50	3.00	4.00	4.50	4.03	4.50	4.00	5.00	3.50	5.00	4.50	4.42
	2413	Bush, Mary	17	35.3%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.56
	2523	Crum, Lilian	9	44.4%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	2623	Collins, Francesco	5	60.0%	4.33	4.00	4.67	3.67	4.67	4.33	4.28	4.67	4.33	3.67	3.33	4.67	4.67	4.22
	2813	Kayganich, Robert	8	50.0%	2.25	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.50	3.25	2.83	4.00	1.75	3.75	2.00	3.75	3.25	3.08
	2993	Taylor, George	4	50.0%	2.50	3.50	4.00	2.50	3.00	3.50	3.17	5.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	3.50	3.50	3.42
	3023	Schneider, Christopher	11	54.5%	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.44	4.67	4.33	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.50
	3213	Coy, Steven	12	66.7%	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.38	4.38	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.38	4.25	4.75	4.63	4.46
	3323	Grayson, Alan	13	46.2%	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.50	4.25	4.33	3.83	4.17	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.31
	3343	Haley, Michael	10	60.0%	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.81	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.78
	3523	Almstead, Blake	11	54.5%	4.83	4.83	5.00	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.81	5.00	4.83	4.83	4.83	5.00	4.67	4.86
	3563	Moore, Jeanne	13	69.2%	4.22	3.89	4.11	4.22	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.56	4.33	4.22	4.44	4.56	4.22	4.39
	3633	Ross, Shannon	16	43.8%	4.14	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.14	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.14	4.57	4.57	4.14	4.14	4.33
	3643	Moore, Jeanne	10	70.0%	4.43	3.86	4.14	4.43	4.14	3.71	4.12	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.48

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results

Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
BME	4213	Nasir, Mansoor	8	12.5%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.67
	4801	Bou-Akl, Therese	14	21.4%	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.75	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92
	4801	Li, Yawen	14	21.4%	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.67	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92
	4803	Li, Yawen	14	21.4%	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92
	4903	Leach, Michelle	11	18.2%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
CHM	1154	Appleby, Lavetta	30	50.0%	4.13	3.87	4.00	4.13	3.93	4.00	4.01	4.07	4.20	4.13	4.13	4.00	3.93	4.08
	1154	Carduner, Keith	28	53.6%	4.00	4.00	4.13	3.93	4.00	3.87	3.99	4.40	4.07	4.13	4.00	4.13	3.93	4.11
	1154	Karian, Harutun	20	50.0%	3.38	3.75	3.38	3.75	3.25	3.00	3.42	3.75	2.88	3.38	3.50	3.75	3.00	3.38
	1213	Holubka, Joseph	21	52.4%	4.18	4.09	4.09	3.73	4.10	3.91	4.03	4.18	3.27	4.00	3.82	4.18	3.36	3.80
	1213	Madden, William	25	68.0%	3.94	3.59	3.94	3.94	3.59	3.53	3.74	4.41	3.47	3.25	3.65	3.12	3.29	3.54
	1213	Villeneuve, Nicole	28	60.7%	4.06	3.82	4.06	4.18	4.12	3.71	3.99	4.41	3.88	4.12	4.12	4.29	4.00	4.14
	1213	Woodworth, James	47	70.2%	3.55	3.67	3.79	3.88	3.58	3.44	3.65	4.03	3.52	3.84	3.97	3.19	3.42	3.66
	1221	Dean, Walter	20	65.0%	4.00	3.92	4.23	4.00	4.15	4.08	4.06	4.77	4.00	4.50	4.15	4.23	3.85	4.24
	1221	Holubka, Joseph	53	62.3%	4.18	4.28	4.36	4.18	4.15	4.15	4.22	4.42	3.97	4.12	4.09	4.42	4.24	4.21
	1221	Madden, William	29	69.0%	4.15	4.11	4.10	3.79	4.11	4.00	4.05	4.70	4.05	3.89	4.16	4.16	4.16	4.19
	1221	Woodworth, James	18	72.2%	4.23	4.00	4.17	4.31	4.15	4.00	4.15	4.31	4.08	3.77	4.31	3.17	3.77	3.91
	2313	Timmons, Shannon	15	73.3%	4.55	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.55	4.50	4.82	4.73	4.70	4.55	4.91	4.82	4.75
	2342	Villeneuve, Nicole	8	75.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.17	4.50	4.42	4.83	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.58
	2352	Villeneuve, Nicole	8	75.0%	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.47	4.83	4.50	4.83	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.67
	3403	Timmons, Shannon	6	100.0%	5.00	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.64	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.83
	3411	Timmons, Shannon	6	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	3434	Appleby, Lavetta	3	33.3%	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	3.17	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	3.83
	3441	Madden, William	2	50.0%	3.00	4.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	2.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.60
	3452	Dissanayake, Prabani	5	80.0%	2.00	1.50	2.50	1.75	1.75	1.50	1.83	3.50	2.50	3.00	2.25	3.00	1.50	2.63
	3463	Sky, Anthony	3	33.3%	1.00	4.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	1.00	2.00	5.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	4.00	2.00	2.33
	4001	Appleby, Lavetta	5	60.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.00	4.00	3.67	4.28	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.00	4.67	4.33	4.50
	4632	Villeneuve, Nicole	3	33.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.83
6253	Appleby, Lavetta	2	50.0%	4.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.50	
COM	94	Blackwell, Linda	15	60.0%	4.22	4.11	4.22	3.89	4.00	4.22	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.22	4.22	4.15	
	94	Flores, Anna-Maria	14	50.0%	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.43	4.43	4.52	5.00	5.00	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.86
	94	Floyd, Stacha	14	35.7%	3.00	3.00	3.20	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.03	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.80	2.97
	94	Frenkel, Cindy	12	41.7%	3.40	3.00	3.40	2.40	2.60	3.00	2.97	4.20	3.60	3.60	2.80	4.40	3.20	3.63
	94	Kustarz, Michelle	32	68.8%	4.41	4.23	4.45	4.45	4.27	4.23	4.34	4.50	4.41	4.55	4.50	4.59	4.50	4.51
	94	Moyer, Daniel	13	69.2%	4.56	4.56	4.56	4.56	4.44	4.22	4.48	4.56	4.56	4.56	4.33	4.44	4.67	4.52
	1001	Barnard, Alexandria	21	66.7%	4.14	4.29	4.36	4.21	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.21	4.36	4.43	4.43	4.64	4.57	4.44
	1001	Davio, Kalie	20	45.0%	4.33	3.11	3.78	4.56	4.00	3.56	3.89	4.56	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.89	4.89	4.80
	1001	Faulkner, Ty	20	40.0%	4.00	4.13	4.13	4.25	4.13	4.00	4.10	3.88	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.88	4.25	4.40
	1001	Gaber, Jody	44	45.5%	4.05	4.05	4.15	4.15	3.95	3.90	4.04	4.45	4.30	4.40	4.15	4.50	4.40	4.37
	1001	Haskin, Jennifer	41	43.9%	3.89	3.83	4.11	4.06	3.94	3.61	3.91	4.39	4.11	4.39	4.33	4.56	4.50	4.38
	1001	Hsu, Jamie	41	58.5%	3.92	3.83	4.04	3.33	3.50	3.75	3.73	4.75	4.33	4.63	3.78	4.75	4.42	4.44
	1001	Jackson, Alanna	39	46.2%	3.72	3.72	3.89	4.00	3.67	3.44	3.74	4.22	3.89	4.17	3.83	4.06	4.11	4.05
	1001	Kight, Amanda	21	52.4%	4.36	4.09	4.18	4.36	4.18	3.64	4.14	4.55	4.55	4.64	4.55	4.55	4.73	4.59
	1001	Koch, Douglass	46	91.3%	3.36	3.24	3.86	3.60	3.36	3.29	3.45	4.20	3.62	4.40	3.81	4.29	3.95	4.04
	1001	Nation, Christopher	19	52.6%	4.40	3.60	4.60	4.50	4.10	4.00	4.20	4.89	4.80	4.80	4.90	4.89	4.90	4.83
	1001	Reimer, Donald	21	42.9%	3.44	3.78	3.78	3.78	3.44	3.56	3.63	4.44	3.89	4.67	4.11	4.33	4.11	4.26
	1103	Blackwell, Linda	19	78.9%	3.80	3.80	4.20	3.67	3.60	4.07	3.86	4.27	3.87	4.27	4.07	4.20	3.93	4.10
	1103	Cady, Paul	39	43.6%	4.00	4.00	4.12	4.00	4.06	4.12	4.05	4.71	4.41	4.53	4.47	4.59	4.71	4.57
	1103	Finkelman, Louis	20	70.0%	4.36	4.29	4.21	3.64	4.36	3.93	4.13	4.50	3.93	4.29	3.93	4.50	4.29	4.24
	1103	Flores, Anna-Maria	14	78.6%	3.82	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.27	4.32	4.55	4.09	4.73	4.27	4.82	4.45	4.48
	1103	Heiniger, Abigail	50	54.0%	4.44	4.19	4.48	4.59	4.52	4.44	4.44	4.78	4.56	4.81	4.77	4.81	4.63	4.72
	1103	Jausse, Paul	19	52.6%	4.30	4.30	4.20	4.30	4.20	4.30	4.27	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.57

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
	1103	Moyer, Daniel	19	63.2%	4.50	4.33	4.58	4.42	4.42	4.33	4.43	4.83	4.67	4.58	4.42	4.83	4.33	4.61
	1103	Powaser, Lisa	37	64.9%	4.21	4.17	4.50	4.46	4.38	4.38	4.35	4.63	4.33	4.63	4.67	4.75	4.58	4.60
	1103	St. Pierre, Melissa	39	64.1%	4.36	4.04	4.24	3.92	4.20	4.12	4.15	4.52	4.20	4.36	4.28	4.56	4.40	4.39
	1103	Weinstein, Melinda	20	60.0%	4.33	4.08	4.08	4.27	3.75	3.92	4.07	4.58	4.17	4.17	4.00	4.50	4.33	4.30
	2103	Conner, John	36	66.7%	4.54	4.33	4.46	4.42	4.42	4.38	4.42	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.58	4.63	4.54	4.65
	2103	Floyd, Stacha	18	66.7%	4.33	3.67	4.25	3.92	3.91	3.75	3.97	4.25	4.45	4.33	4.00	4.08	3.75	4.15
	2103	Glennan, Thomas	34	52.9%	4.67	4.61	4.67	4.56	4.61	4.61	4.62	4.83	4.72	4.78	4.61	4.78	4.72	4.74
	2103	Helterhoff, Holly	20	50.0%	4.50	4.00	4.60	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.35	4.70	4.50	4.40	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.53
	2103	Mack, April	35	71.4%	4.72	4.54	4.76	4.44	4.48	4.56	4.59	4.76	4.60	4.72	4.40	4.80	4.68	4.66
	2113	Stavish, Corinne	23	52.2%	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.45	4.64	4.55	4.59	4.73	4.64	4.64	4.73	4.73	4.73	4.70
	3223	Kelber, Nathan	2	100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.25	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50
	3553	Stavish, Corinne	5	80.0%	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96
	4963	Evans, Karen	5	60.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61
	6533	Helterhoff, Holly	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	6553	Stavish, Corinne	5	100.0%	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.70
	7103	Evans, Karen	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.50
CRW	2513	Lamers, Sara	3	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	3.50	4.50	4.50	4.33
EAE	1081	Walters, Filza	28	57.1%	3.50	3.69	3.13	3.69	3.13	3.38	3.42	3.75	3.56	4.00	3.93	3.75	3.50	3.71
	1093	Bryan, Keith	9	66.7%	3.83	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.86	3.33	3.33	4.00	3.67	3.33	3.50	3.53
	1093	Walters, Filza	9	55.6%	3.80	3.80	4.00	4.00	3.60	3.20	3.73	4.00	3.80	4.00	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.87
	3113	Montana, Francesca	13	92.3%	3.00	3.25	3.50	3.58	2.75	2.83	3.15	3.67	3.42	3.92	3.75	3.33	3.50	3.60
	3113	Oranchak, Joseph	13	84.6%	3.00	3.00	3.55	2.91	2.64	2.64	2.95	3.27	2.00	2.82	3.18	2.09	2.18	2.59
	3991	Bebawy, Mena	9	55.6%	3.80	4.00	4.20	4.20	3.80	3.80	3.92	4.00	4.00	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.20
	4014	Nelson, Ralph	11	81.8%	4.56	4.22	4.44	4.44	4.33	4.44	4.41	4.44	4.56	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.44	4.52
	4014	Nowicki, Michael	11	63.6%	3.83	3.86	4.20	4.00	4.00	4.14	3.96	4.71	4.33	4.17	4.50	4.29	4.14	4.31
	4113	Nowicki, Michael	10	70.0%	4.00	4.29	4.29	4.29	3.86	4.14	4.14	4.86	4.57	3.57	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.31
ECE	1011	Carpenter, Donald	16	81.3%	4.46	4.31	4.23	4.23	4.46	4.62	4.38	4.69	4.31	4.31	4.46	4.85	4.77	4.56
	1013	Livernois, Michael	16	68.8%	4.09	4.00	4.45	4.09	4.18	4.27	4.18	4.55	4.27	4.27	4.27	3.91	4.45	4.29
	1101	Kozak, Crystal	24	79.2%	4.53	4.56	4.63	4.47	4.42	4.53	4.52	4.58	4.63	4.37	4.37	4.42	4.58	4.49
	1102	Kozak, Crystal	15	93.3%	4.57	4.43	4.50	4.57	4.36	4.50	4.49	4.50	4.36	4.43	4.50	4.29	4.57	4.44
	2103	Henson, Susan	14	71.4%	4.10	3.78	4.10	4.20	4.10	3.70	4.00	4.40	4.10	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.00	4.25
	3013	Kowalkowski, Keith	20	90.0%	4.39	3.67	4.39	3.89	4.28	4.00	4.10	4.56	3.83	4.17	3.89	4.33	4.06	4.14
	3213	Mata, Luis	15	53.3%	4.50	4.50	4.63	4.38	4.38	4.50	4.48	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.69
	3324	Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	8	100.0%	4.50	4.38	4.25	4.50	4.38	4.25	4.38	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.50	4.63	4.63	4.60
	3424	Lobbestael, Adam	6	83.3%	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.67	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.60	4.80
	3523	Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	7	85.7%	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.42	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.67
	3723	Livernois, Michael	5	100.0%	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.00	3.80	4.20	4.20	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.60
	3823	Bandara, Nishantha	10	80.0%	4.13	3.75	4.38	4.13	3.88	4.38	4.10	4.38	4.00	4.50	4.63	4.63	4.38	4.42
	4022	Mata, Luis	17	70.6%	4.17	4.00	4.17	4.25	4.50	4.33	4.24	4.45	3.75	4.25	4.17	4.25	4.17	4.16
	4051	Tocco, John	10	80.0%	4.25	4.38	4.29	4.13	4.25	3.88	4.19	4.50	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.38	4.25	4.27
	4243	Tocco, John	17	88.2%	4.13	4.20	3.93	3.60	4.27	4.20	4.06	4.67	3.93	3.93	3.93	4.20	4.20	4.14
	4263	Tocco, John	15	66.7%	4.40	4.20	4.20	3.60	4.20	4.30	4.15	4.60	4.10	3.70	3.70	3.90	4.10	4.02
	4443	Lobbestael, Adam	18	88.9%	4.38	4.31	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.38	4.38	4.56	4.38	4.56	4.44	4.56	4.38	4.48
	4544	Carpenter, Donald	4	100.0%	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.67	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	4563	Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	5	100.0%	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.63	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.73
	4743	Kowalkowski, Keith	23	95.7%	4.45	4.36	4.41	4.32	4.27	4.32	4.36	4.59	4.45	4.62	4.45	4.50	4.59	4.53
	4761	Bebawy, Mena	11	72.7%	4.13	3.88	4.00	4.00	4.13	4.25	4.06	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.35
	4843	Bandara, Nishantha	14	85.7%	4.25	4.25	4.42	4.50	4.42	4.33	4.36	3.83	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.25	4.29
	5113	Mata, Luis	19	78.9%	3.93	4.27	4.07	4.07	4.07	4.20	4.10	4.33	3.87	3.80	3.80	4.13	4.00	3.99
	5213	Tocco, John	22	68.2%	3.67	4.27	4.07	3.67	3.80	3.80	3.88	4.60	4.47	4.13	4.20	4.40	4.33	4.36

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

		Participation		The Course							The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
ECE	5233	Judnic, Victor	20	75.0%	4.73	4.67	4.80	4.73	4.73	4.80	4.74	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.87	4.87	4.82	
	5263	Alsharif, Samer	21	95.2%	4.60	4.65	4.60	4.50	4.45	4.50	4.55	4.60	4.45	4.65	4.35	4.45	4.55	
	5343	Doran, Thomas	9	44.4%	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96	
	5413	Lobbstaal, Adam	10	90.0%	4.78	4.89	5.00	4.78	4.89	4.89	4.87	4.89	5.00	5.00	4.89	5.00	4.78	4.93
	5703	Bebawy, Mena	13	76.9%	4.40	4.70	4.60	4.30	4.50	4.30	4.47	4.90	4.60	4.70	4.50	4.60	4.70	4.67
	5773	Kowalkowski, Keith	19	100.0%	4.89	4.79	4.74	4.74	4.79	4.79	4.79	5.00	4.89	4.89	4.84	4.95	5.00	4.93
	5823	Bandara, Nishantha	23	91.3%	4.86	4.90	4.81	4.71	4.81	4.76	4.81	4.90	4.76	4.81	4.76	4.76	4.86	4.81
	6733	El-Essawi, Mohamed	8	75.0%	3.83	3.83	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.11	4.67	3.50	4.00	4.33	4.50	4.17	4.19
EEE	1001	Olivier, Philip	12	83.3%	4.30	4.75	4.43	4.00	3.90	4.10	4.10	4.90	4.80	5.00	4.33	5.00	4.70	4.77
	2111	Foster, Ronald	17	58.8%	3.50	4.00	3.80	2.70	3.70	3.90	3.60	3.60	2.80	3.10	3.10	3.30	3.40	3.22
	2111	Walker, Lewis	5	60.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.67	4.50	4.33	4.00	4.67	2.67	3.00	3.67	3.72
	2114	Walker, Lewis	25	48.0%	3.92	3.92	4.00	3.75	3.92	4.00	3.92	3.50	3.42	3.83	3.83	3.42	3.67	3.61
	2123	Anneberg, Lisa	28	60.7%	4.06	4.12	4.41	4.00	3.76	4.00	4.06	4.29	3.94	4.41	4.24	4.47	4.00	4.23
	2123	Cloud, Michael	22	54.5%	4.58	4.50	4.33	4.08	4.25	4.42	4.36	4.75	4.33	4.67	4.50	4.25	4.58	4.51
	2214	Foster, Ronald	13	69.2%	3.11	3.33	3.89	2.67	4.11	3.78	3.48	4.33	3.44	4.00	3.11	4.00	3.44	3.72
	2214	Jaber, Nabih	16	25.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
	3011	Jaber, Nabih	18	66.7%	2.92	3.00	3.40	2.92	3.09	3.08	3.00	4.27	3.91	4.20	4.00	4.45	4.09	4.16
	3121	Renehan, Bridget	10	60.0%	4.17	4.00	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.64
	3124	Cloud, Michael	16	62.5%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.90	4.80	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.70	4.80	4.85
	3124	LeCarpentier, Gerald	4	75.0%	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61
	3221	Anneberg, Lisa	10	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.80	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.97
	3223	Anneberg, Lisa	17	35.3%	4.00	4.00	3.83	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.97	4.00	3.83	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.97
	3231	Foster, Ronald	16	56.3%	3.89	4.00	4.13	3.67	4.11	4.00	3.94	4.11	3.89	4.11	4.00	3.78	4.11	4.00
	3233	Kolasa, William	21	47.6%	2.10	3.30	3.10	2.40	3.00	2.60	2.75	3.40	2.30	2.70	3.20	2.60	2.90	2.85
	3311	Renehan, Bridget	4	75.0%	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.94	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
	3314	Dragon, Thomas	5	80.0%	3.50	3.25	3.50	3.00	4.25	3.50	3.50	4.00	3.75	3.50	3.25	4.25	3.50	3.71
	3414	Cloud, Michael	11	63.6%	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.43	4.71	4.86	4.67	5.00	4.86	5.00	4.86	4.86	5.00	4.93
	3422	Johnston, Richard	3	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	3511	Walker, Lewis	6	50.0%	3.67	3.00	3.33	2.67	3.00	3.33	3.17	3.33	3.67	3.67	4.00	3.33	3.67	3.61
	3513	Walker, Lewis	6	50.0%	3.33	3.00	3.00	3.33	3.67	3.33	3.28	3.33	3.33	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.11
	4241	Sweet, Benjamin	9	88.9%	4.25	4.13	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.40	4.88	4.50	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.67
	4243	Sweet, Benjamin	16	81.3%	4.15	4.33	4.15	4.00	3.69	3.77	4.00	4.69	4.15	4.54	4.23	4.62	4.15	4.40
	4253	Foster, Ronald	16	31.3%	3.20	3.80	4.00	3.20	4.00	3.80	3.67	4.20	3.40	4.20	3.80	4.00	4.00	3.93
	4263	Anneberg, Lisa	7	42.9%	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.39	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.78
	4313	Johnston, Richard	8	62.5%	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.67	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.70
	4323	Kolasa, William	5	80.0%	3.25	4.25	4.00	3.50	3.50	4.00	3.75	4.25	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.25	3.92
	4423	Hua, Kun	10	70.0%	4.57	4.71	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.50	4.57	4.29	4.29	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.45
	4514	Foster, Ronald	14	42.9%	4.33	4.17	4.33	3.67	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.00	3.80	3.33	4.00	4.17	3.97
	4811	Jaber, Nabih	13	76.9%	3.11	3.50	3.11	2.89	3.75	3.67	3.28	4.25	3.67	4.00	3.88	4.38	3.88	3.96
	4822	Jaber, Nabih	4	75.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.58	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	4831	Jaber, Nabih	6	50.0%	3.33	3.00	3.67	2.67	3.33	2.00	2.99	3.67	3.67	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.00
	5274	Kandaswamy, Umasankar	15	60.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.59	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.56	4.61
	5314	Johnston, Richard	5	80.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
	5364	Anneberg, Lisa	13	76.9%	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.80	4.70	4.72	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.90	4.70	4.72
5534	Dourra, Hussein	20	75.0%	3.53	3.33	3.60	3.67	3.53	3.27	3.39	2.87	2.60	2.93	3.13	2.93	2.67	2.86	
5534	Olivier, Philip	16	37.5%	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.44	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.58	
5534	Zorka, Nicholas	32	56.3%	3.61	3.56	3.72	3.56	3.67	3.67	3.63	3.39	3.44	3.61	3.56	3.39	3.22	3.44	
5654	Hua, Kun	30	80.0%	4.88	4.79	4.83	4.75	4.75	4.79	4.80	4.88	4.75	4.92	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.86	
5654	Jaber, Nabih	15	40.0%	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.53	
5654	Kandaswamy, Umasankar	28	60.7%	4.41	4.35	4.41	4.35	4.53	4.53	4.43	4.71	4.41	4.71	4.50	4.53	4.47	4.55	
6784	Hua, Kun	17	94.1%	4.75	4.69	4.75	4.63	4.69	4.75	4.71	4.88	4.75	4.81	4.75	4.69	4.81	4.78	

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

		Participation		The Course							The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
	1001	DeAgostino, Thomas	43	53.5%	4.22	4.13	3.87	4.26	4.17	4.09	4.12	4.57	4.35	4.70	4.61	4.83	4.61	4.61
	1001	Fletcher, Robert	35	68.6%	4.17	4.13	4.13	4.00	4.04	4.04	4.08	4.50	4.13	4.29	4.17	4.38	4.25	4.28
	1001	Gerhart, Andrew	22	77.3%	4.47	4.29	4.35	4.53	4.41	4.29	4.39	4.82	4.65	4.82	4.76	4.82	4.76	4.77
	1001	Henson, Susan	22	63.6%	4.21	3.86	4.14	4.21	4.14	3.64	4.04	4.21	4.14	4.43	4.43	4.50	4.36	4.35
	1001	Meyer, Eric	19	78.9%	3.80	3.50	3.73	3.53	3.67	3.60	3.64	3.67	3.53	3.67	3.60	3.13	3.47	3.51
	1001	Walters, Filza	23	82.6%	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.37	3.79	4.10	4.42	4.21	4.47	4.32	4.47	4.16	4.34
	1023	Lisiecki, Laura	44	61.4%	3.78	4.15	3.93	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.90	3.78	3.37	3.74	3.65	3.15	3.31	3.48
	1102	Fernandez, Vernon	31	67.7%	3.33	3.33	3.48	3.45	3.75	3.57	3.55	3.81	3.10	3.57	3.62	4.00	3.38	3.57
	1102	Stuart, Charles	29	69.0%	4.20	4.20	4.30	4.10	4.25	4.35	4.23	4.65	4.40	4.60	4.35	4.60	4.60	4.53
	2013	Lisiecki, Laura	21	52.4%	4.27	4.18	4.36	4.18	3.91	4.36	4.21	4.45	4.00	4.10	4.00	3.64	3.91	4.02
	2013	Riedel, Chris	32	84.4%	4.48	4.48	4.33	4.41	4.48	4.33	4.42	4.67	4.56	4.56	4.70	4.44	4.52	4.57
	2233	Reimer, Donald	42	71.4%	3.90	3.79	4.03	3.90	3.90	3.53	3.84	4.37	3.97	4.13	4.10	4.33	3.93	4.14
	3003	Arslan, Selin	31	80.6%	4.44	4.40	4.52	4.48	4.40	4.52	4.46	4.80	4.52	4.60	4.68	4.64	4.60	4.64
	3003	Frasch, Lewis	19	78.9%	4.73	4.73	4.67	4.73	4.87	4.67	4.73	4.87	4.53	4.87	4.67	4.87	4.80	4.77
	3012	DeAgostino, Thomas	31	71.0%	4.05	4.05	4.09	3.86	3.73	3.73	3.92	4.41	4.00	4.32	4.05	4.55	3.95	4.21
	3012	Sobolak, Steve	50	56.0%	4.14	4.18	4.14	4.07	4.18	4.07	4.13	4.43	4.14	4.39	4.25	4.43	4.14	4.30
	3361	Reimer, Donald	26	42.3%	4.00	4.27	4.27	4.00	3.73	4.00	4.05	4.64	4.00	4.18	4.00	4.64	4.18	4.27
EME	2011	Lisiecki, Laura	27	59.3%	4.19	4.31	4.31	4.13	3.94	3.94	4.14	4.31	4.00	4.25	4.06	3.81	3.81	4.04
	2012	Ali, Sk	26	50.0%	2.77	3.15	3.23	2.62	3.00	3.08	2.97	1.85	2.38	2.69	2.62	2.62	2.00	2.36
	2012	Mullin, Thomas	23	87.0%	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.60	4.45	4.45	4.53	4.10	4.40	4.45	4.50	4.45	4.50	4.40
	3013	Yee, Kingman	36	100.0%	4.25	3.89	4.11	4.19	4.00	3.94	4.07	4.36	4.25	4.31	3.97	3.64	3.89	4.07
	3023	Alwerfalli, Daw	33	78.8%	3.85	4.15	4.00	3.96	3.81	4.08	3.97	4.50	4.15	4.27	4.38	4.38	4.19	4.31
	3023	Sobolak, Steve	30	76.7%	3.96	4.00	3.96	3.96	3.87	3.78	3.92	4.48	3.87	4.04	4.22	4.22	3.96	4.13
	3033	Fernandez, Vernon	8	87.5%	2.00	3.14	3.00	2.57	2.29	1.86	2.48	3.43	2.14	2.71	2.43	2.71	1.57	2.50
	3033	Pawlowski, Roger	21	76.2%	4.06	3.75	4.06	4.13	4.13	3.81	3.99	4.56	4.44	4.25	4.50	4.38	4.25	4.40
	3043	Morano, Heidi	24	79.2%	4.58	4.47	4.53	4.63	4.53	4.53	4.54	4.58	4.63	4.68	4.68	4.79	4.63	4.67
	3043	Riedel, Chris	20	70.0%	4.79	4.50	4.71	4.71	4.77	4.64	4.69	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.79	4.64	4.79	4.77
	3123	Gerhart, Andrew	11	72.7%	4.50	4.38	4.63	4.50	4.25	4.13	4.40	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.63	4.67
	3123	Liu, Liping	10	60.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.83	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.56
	3133	Stuart, Charles	24	66.7%	4.56	4.63	4.56	4.56	4.50	4.50	4.55	4.75	4.56	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.69	4.67
	3214	Mynderse, James	10	70.0%	4.57	3.86	4.43	4.00	4.14	4.29	4.21	4.86	4.43	4.14	4.57	4.71	4.57	4.55
	3214	Troxel, Ned	6	50.0%	2.67	2.67	3.33	2.33	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.33	3.00	3.67	2.67	4.00	3.67	3.56
	4003	Khosrovaneh, Abolhass	19	47.4%	4.11	3.67	4.11	4.11	3.67	3.89	3.93	4.22	3.67	3.44	4.22	4.00	3.89	3.91
	4013	Arslan, Selin	10	70.0%	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.55	4.71	4.71	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.60
	4013	Liu, Liping	7	85.7%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
	4143	Alwerfalli, Daw	17	76.5%	4.38	4.46	4.46	4.38	4.54	4.54	4.46	4.77	4.54	4.62	4.38	4.62	4.54	4.58
	4163	Williams, John	9	44.4%	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.71	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96
	4212	Yee, Kingman	19	94.7%	3.61	3.13	3.00	3.72	3.29	3.18	3.32	4.06	4.00	4.33	4.25	3.81	3.88	3.99
	4252	Yee, Kingman	24	95.8%	4.14	4.19	3.90	4.00	4.36	4.09	4.09	4.30	4.43	4.30	4.04	4.09	4.26	4.24
	4333	Gill, Preetinder	17	82.4%	4.50	4.43	4.36	4.50	4.29	4.29	4.39	4.64	4.57	4.64	4.50	4.50	4.57	4.57
	4402	Fernandez, Vernon	14	57.1%	3.00	3.29	3.71	3.00	3.71	3.71	3.40	2.88	2.86	3.14	2.88	2.88	2.71	2.85
	4412	Gerhart, Andrew	11	72.7%	5.00	4.88	5.00	4.88	4.88	4.75	4.90	5.00	4.88	4.88	5.00	5.00	4.88	4.94
	4603	Morano, Heidi	23	82.6%	4.63	4.53	4.58	4.58	4.26	4.53	4.52	4.58	4.63	4.68	4.68	4.53	4.63	4.62
	4613	Pawlowski, Roger	23	65.2%	4.47	4.47	4.40	4.53	4.60	4.40	4.48	4.73	4.73	4.80	4.67	4.40	4.67	4.67
	5143	Yassine, Mahmoud	32	87.5%	4.46	4.43	4.64	4.43	4.43	4.46	4.48	4.57	4.21	4.29	4.29	3.93	4.21	4.25
	5153	Arslan, Selin	32	81.3%	4.81	4.88	4.88	4.81	4.77	4.77	4.82	4.88	4.85	4.88	4.92	4.92	4.88	4.89
	5213	Santangelo, Giuseppe	32	65.6%	4.24	4.29	4.48	3.90	4.05	4.24	4.20	4.57	4.10	4.38	4.00	4.57	4.19	4.30
	5253	Makki, Imad	32	84.4%	4.22	4.15	4.19	4.12	4.19	4.15	4.17	4.37	4.00	4.30	4.31	4.19	4.19	4.22
	5363	Liu, Liping	34	91.2%	4.42	4.48	4.45	4.32	4.26	4.35	4.38	4.55	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.61	4.65	4.59
	5373	Fletcher, Robert	26	61.5%	4.50	4.50	3.81	4.13	4.19	4.50	4.27	4.94	4.81	4.75	4.31	4.88	4.69	4.73
	5433	DeRose, Giuseppe	31	67.7%	4.71	4.76	4.81	4.67	4.71	4.76	4.74	4.76	4.76	4.76	4.71	4.71	4.81	4.75

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

		Participation		The Course							The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
	5453	Sitaram, Pattabhi	20	80.0%	4.00	3.88	4.38	3.94	4.19	3.94	4.05	4.25	4.19	4.50	4.38	4.31	4.31	4.32
	5603	Ali, Sk	23	56.5%	4.69	4.62	4.85	4.69	4.69	4.69	4.71	4.69	4.54	4.85	4.62	4.85	4.85	4.73
	5983	Fletcher, Robert	10	50.0%	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.00	4.00	4.60	4.33	4.60	4.60	4.20	3.80	4.20	4.40	4.30
	6223	Jawad, Badih	28	42.9%	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.58	4.58	4.58	4.67	4.50	4.58	4.50	4.58	4.58	4.57
	6333	Khosrovaneh, Abolhass	30	66.7%	4.20	4.20	4.45	4.35	4.10	4.20	4.25	4.35	4.35	4.50	4.35	4.25	4.40	4.37
	6543	Koutsavdis, Evangelos	18	55.6%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.40	4.40	4.50	4.47	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
	6553	Sitaram, Pattabhi	32	53.1%	3.82	3.65	3.65	3.47	3.88	3.59	3.68	3.47	3.47	3.53	3.53	3.65	3.35	3.50
	6623	Makki, Imad	20	80.0%	4.38	4.31	4.44	4.50	4.31	4.25	4.36	4.69	4.44	4.44	4.31	4.50	4.19	4.43
	6653	Trewn, Jayant	5	60.0%	4.00	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.00	3.67	4.22	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.00	3.67	4.22
	6763	Shevlin, John	21	42.9%	4.56	3.67	4.22	4.44	4.11	4.33	4.22	4.56	4.33	4.44	4.44	4.67	4.56	4.50
	6803	Alwerfalli, Daw	33	84.8%	4.43	4.39	4.54	4.43	4.46	4.54	4.46	4.71	4.68	4.61	4.71	4.75	4.61	4.68
	6993	Alwerfalli, Daw	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	6993	Jawad, Badih	2	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	7503	Riedel, Chris	20	75.0%	4.73	4.93	4.80	4.80	4.67	4.87	4.80	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.87	4.87	4.93	4.91
	7543	Koutsavdis, Evangelos	6	83.3%	4.80	4.80	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.63	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.60	5.00	5.00	4.93
	7613	Ali, Sk	27	59.3%	4.31	4.50	4.44	4.38	4.31	4.38	4.39	4.50	4.56	4.63	4.56	4.63	4.63	4.57
ERE	3014	Kfoury, Giscard	9	55.6%	3.60	2.80	3.60	3.40	3.80	3.60	3.47	4.40	3.40	3.60	3.80	4.60	3.80	3.93
	3114	Kfoury, Giscard	9	44.4%	4.00	4.00	3.75	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.04	5.00	3.75	3.50	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.21
	4014	Kfoury, Giscard	2	100.0%	3.00	4.00	3.50	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.42	4.50	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.00
	4113	Fernandez, Vernon	3	100.0%	2.33	1.00	2.67	2.33	3.33	3.33	2.50	4.00	2.67	2.50	3.67	4.00	3.00	3.43
ESL	112	Jawad-Abushaar, Ragette	5	80.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
	132	Bauer, Emily	15	80.0%	4.75	4.75	4.67	4.58	4.67	4.75	4.69	4.73	4.64	4.82	4.67	4.82	4.82	4.69
	152	Finlay, Suzanne	7	42.9%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
	152	Theisen, Mary Lou	9	88.9%	4.50	4.63	5.00	5.00	4.88	4.88	4.81	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.88	5.00	5.00	4.98
	212	Edward, Pauline	5	60.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	232	Bennett, Douglas	7	85.7%	4.50	4.67	4.17	4.17	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.50	4.56
	232	Corp, Scott	14	71.4%	4.89	4.70	4.70	4.60	4.50	4.50	4.62	4.50	4.56	4.56	4.60	4.50	4.78	4.52
	252	Bennett, Douglas	8	37.5%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
	252	Jawad, Megdieh	16	81.3%	4.62	4.54	4.62	4.62	4.46	4.46	4.55	4.46	4.38	4.54	4.54	4.46	4.46	4.47
	252	Rescoe, Sarah	4	50.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.33
	312	Broughton, Katharine	7	57.1%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	332	Broughton, Katharine	5	60.0%	5.00	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.33	5.00	4.56	5.00	5.00	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.61
	332	Jawad-Abushaar, Ragette	13	76.9%	4.30	4.20	4.50	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.33	4.30	4.10	4.30	4.20	4.30	4.40	4.27
	352	Bauer, Emily	15	80.0%	4.42	4.33	4.42	4.42	4.42	4.33	4.39	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.42	4.50	4.46
	352	Corp, Scott	16	56.3%	3.78	3.78	3.89	4.00	3.89	3.78	3.85	3.78	3.89	3.89	3.89	4.00	3.89	3.89
	432	Mirza, Megan	22	59.1%	4.77	4.62	4.77	4.69	4.67	4.83	4.71	4.69	4.67	4.67	4.75	4.85	4.77	4.71
	452	Mirza, Megan	24	75.0%	4.71	4.71	4.76	4.65	4.76	4.71	4.72	4.59	4.65	4.59	4.59	4.65	4.65	4.62
	512	Jawad-Abushaar, Ragette	5	60.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.61	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
	532	Bauer, Emily	12	58.3%	4.86	4.86	4.86	5.00	4.86	5.00	4.90	5.00	5.00	4.71	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.95
	532	Edward, Pauline	11	63.6%	4.14	4.29	4.00	4.14	4.29	4.29	4.19	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.29	4.36
	552	Berri, Sarah	10	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	552	Finlay, Suzanne	6	50.0%	3.33	3.33	4.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.50	3.67	3.67	3.33	3.33	4.00	3.00	3.50
	552	Revels, Teresa	9	55.6%	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.33	4.20	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.20
	612	Berri, Sarah	6	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
	632	Neme, Jonathon	11	63.6%	4.57	4.43	4.33	4.43	4.14	4.43	4.37	4.57	4.67	4.50	4.43	4.00	4.17	4.33
	652	Jawad, Megdieh	4	75.0%	3.67	3.67	4.00	4.00	3.33	3.67	3.72	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.67	3.94
	652	Neme, Jonathon	9	66.7%	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.69	5.00	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.81
FIN	3103	Tsebro, Pavlo	21	33.3%	4.43	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.29	4.29	4.50	4.71	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.29	4.43
FSC	1214	Woodworth, James	29	69.0%	3.50	3.70	3.70	3.35	3.40	3.50	3.53	4.30	3.40	3.95	3.50	3.90	3.70	3.79

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
GAM	2123	Ashton, Marshall	8	100.0%	4.13	4.63	4.38	4.13	4.25	4.25	4.29	4.50	4.13	4.38	4.13	4.50	4.38	4.33
	2213	Ashton, Marshall	16	56.3%	4.44	4.89	4.67	4.44	4.67	4.56	4.61	5.00	4.67	4.89	4.44	4.67	4.56	4.70
	3143	Ashton, Marshall	7	85.7%	4.33	4.50	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.33	4.36
	4514	Ashton, Marshall	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
GLG	1103	Thomas, David	32	46.9%	4.07	4.07	4.33	3.87	3.87	3.87	4.01	4.80	3.87	4.40	4.20	4.73	4.40	4.40
	6143	Rands, Marilyn	3	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
HRM	3023	Egleston, David	31	41.9%	4.23	4.23	4.23	4.23	4.08	4.08	4.18	4.62	4.46	4.54	4.38	4.31	4.38	4.45
IDD	1113	Nagara, Keith	19	26.3%	3.60	3.50	3.60	3.20	4.20	3.40	3.58	3.60	2.80	3.40	3.40	4.00	3.80	3.50
	1114	English, Derek	10	50.0%	4.40	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.67	5.00	4.20	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.80	4.63
	1114	Falenski, Jason	10	40.0%	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.75	3.75	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.38
	1114	Hanzel, Andrew	10	40.0%	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.75	5.00	4.79	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.75
	2213	Graf, Benjamin	6	100.0%	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.83	4.58	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.83	5.00	4.92
	2216	English, Derek	6	100.0%	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.64	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.94
	2216	Falenski, Jason	6	100.0%	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.56	5.00	4.83	4.83	4.50	4.83	4.83	4.81
	2216	Huacuja, Roberto	6	100.0%	3.50	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.00	4.00	4.83	4.00	4.67	4.00	4.83	4.33	4.44
	2216	Manuilow, Taylor	6	100.0%	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.17	4.50	4.67	4.53	4.67	4.17	4.50	4.17	4.33	4.67	4.42
	2216	Palazzolo, Joseph	6	100.0%	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.78	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	2216	Zubrickas, Paul	6	100.0%	4.33	4.00	4.50	3.83	4.33	4.33	4.22	4.17	4.33	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.00	4.28
	3316	Fontaine, Jonathan	6	16.7%	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.50
	3316	Moore, William	6	16.7%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
	3316	Nelson, Christopher	6	16.7%	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.83
3316	Preuss, Kevin	6	16.7%	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	4.17	
INT	3103	Alexandris, Athanasios	18	66.7%	4.33	4.42	4.67	4.67	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.75	4.67	4.67	4.75	4.69
	3203	Makar, Andrew	10	30.0%	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
	3603	Montgomery, Robert	16	93.8%	4.80	4.87	4.87	4.67	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.86	4.67	4.67	4.73	4.77	4.79	4.72
	3803	Ellison, Howard	10	70.0%	3.14	2.86	3.57	3.43	3.29	3.29	3.26	3.43	3.43	3.43	3.86	3.29	3.43	3.48
	3803	Ku, Chih	16	50.0%	2.63	3.25	3.13	3.13	2.63	3.00	2.96	4.00	3.25	4.00	4.13	4.13	3.88	3.90
	4013	Dada, Amanullah	17	64.7%	3.00	3.00	3.55	3.27	3.36	3.36	3.26	3.36	3.64	3.73	3.45	4.00	3.60	3.62
	4203	Bendall, Carrie	6	33.3%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
	4303	Montgomery, Robert	6	100.0%	4.50	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.67	4.33	4.36	4.50	3.67	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.33	4.31
	4303	Neary, John	7	85.7%	4.67	4.50	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.69	5.00	4.67	4.83	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.86
	5024	Dada, Amanullah	15	86.7%	4.00	4.50	4.54	3.85	3.92	4.23	4.09	4.23	4.00	4.23	3.92	4.31	4.15	4.14
	6043	Kohnke, Anne	30	46.7%	4.57	4.64	4.50	4.57	4.43	4.50	4.54	4.79	4.79	4.86	4.71	4.71	4.79	4.77
	6043	McCord, Samuel	24	41.7%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.40	4.40	4.47	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.82
	6113	Ku, Chih	24	70.8%	4.35	4.41	4.53	4.29	4.47	4.35	4.40	4.29	4.41	4.59	4.24	4.41	4.35	4.38
	6123	Kohnke, Anne	36	63.9%	4.91	4.78	4.87	4.83	4.91	4.96	4.88	4.83	4.87	4.87	4.83	4.87	4.91	4.86
	6143	Evans Mach, Patrick	26	96.2%	3.84	3.92	3.88	3.68	4.12	3.96	3.90	4.16	3.84	3.96	4.00	4.24	4.00	4.02
	6253	Taleb, Hassan	23	73.9%	4.35	4.47	4.47	4.53	4.24	4.29	4.34	4.41	4.35	4.59	4.65	4.50	4.47	4.50
	7213	Evans Mach, Patrick	21	100.0%	4.38	4.19	4.33	4.29	4.48	4.43	4.35	4.38	4.43	4.67	4.38	4.67	4.62	4.52
	7223	Chasse, James	18	88.9%	4.81	4.56	4.63	4.69	4.56	4.69	4.66	4.88	4.69	4.69	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
	7513	Padalino, Anthony	17	76.5%	4.54	4.54	4.62	4.62	4.69	4.69	4.62	4.77	4.69	4.77	4.69	4.77	4.69	4.73
	7563	Hoffner, Vernon	8	50.0%	2.25	3.25	2.50	2.25	2.50	2.25	2.50	3.25	2.50	2.75	2.50	3.00	3.00	2.83
	7593	Allen, Victor	8	87.5%	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.29	3.86	4.00	4.26	4.14	4.00	4.00	3.57	4.00	4.00	3.95
IOE	2012	Mullin, Thomas	4	25.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	3023	Sobolak, Steve	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.67	5.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	3.67
LDR	2001	Balcom, Cynthia	35	77.1%	3.70	4.19	4.19	4.31	4.00	3.73	4.01	4.44	4.07	4.52	4.38	4.67	4.19	4.38

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
LDR	2001	Coratti, Anthony	9	55.6%	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.80	4.60	5.00	4.63	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	2001	Craig, Brian	17	76.5%	3.77	4.23	4.00	3.92	4.00	3.62	3.92	4.38	4.08	4.46	4.17	4.54	4.31	4.32
	2001	Duranceau, Claudia	34	41.2%	3.86	3.86	4.07	4.21	3.50	3.43	3.82	4.43	3.93	4.07	4.14	4.29	4.07	4.15
	2001	Faulkner, Ty	30	66.7%	4.25	4.40	4.40	4.25	3.95	4.10	4.23	4.45	4.05	4.40	4.35	4.80	4.40	4.41
	2001	Hobart, Jamie	19	73.7%	4.85	4.69	4.69	4.69	4.33	4.08	4.54	4.77	4.77	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.82
	2001	Jolly, Katherine	20	70.0%	4.00	3.79	4.07	4.43	3.50	3.21	3.83	4.00	4.21	4.00	4.00	4.07	4.14	4.07
	2001	Nagara, Keith	17	82.4%	3.57	3.64	3.79	3.43	3.71	3.57	3.62	3.86	3.64	3.86	3.36	3.86	3.79	3.73
	2001	Nation, Christopher	37	62.2%	4.57	4.43	4.39	4.70	4.39	4.26	4.46	4.91	4.87	4.87	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.87
	2001	Reimer, Donald	15	80.0%	3.50	3.50	3.75	3.25	3.17	3.17	3.39	3.92	3.42	3.83	3.58	3.67	3.33	3.63
	3000	Craig, Brian	207	59.4%	3.83	3.77	3.85	3.84	3.61	3.38	3.71	4.00	3.93	3.65	4.06	4.09	3.92	3.91
	4000	Duranceau, Claudia	46	56.5%	3.96	3.88	3.80	4.08	3.73	3.19	3.76	4.04	4.31	4.36	4.28	4.19	4.12	4.20
	4000	Eren, Gonca	25	88.0%	3.82	3.26	3.52	3.76	3.19	2.91	3.40	3.94	4.00	3.84	4.00	3.89	3.94	3.92
4000	Hobart, Jamie	25	44.0%	4.00	4.09	4.00	4.27	3.70	2.91	3.82	4.20	4.55	4.55	4.40	4.20	4.30	4.35	
LLT	1213	Kelber, Nathan	36	61.1%	4.41	4.36	4.41	4.55	4.27	4.32	4.39	4.50	4.50	4.64	4.59	4.50	4.55	4.55
	1213	Lieber, Michael	34	55.9%	4.74	4.68	4.74	4.74	4.74	4.63	4.71	4.84	4.84	4.78	4.84	4.89	4.74	4.81
	1213	Schantz, Randy	38	44.7%	3.69	4.13	4.00	3.76	3.94	3.41	3.75	4.12	3.69	3.47	3.47	4.13	3.47	3.68
	1213	Weinstein, Melinda	25	68.0%	3.82	3.56	3.65	3.76	3.82	3.59	3.74	4.53	4.12	4.35	3.88	4.29	4.12	4.22
	1223	Brinker, Ludger	16	68.8%	4.27	4.45	4.45	4.09	4.36	4.36	4.33	5.00	4.64	4.82	4.55	4.82	4.73	4.76
	1223	Frenkel, Cindy	7	85.7%	4.00	4.33	4.67	4.00	4.17	4.33	4.25	5.00	4.67	4.33	4.50	5.00	4.83	4.72
	1223	Grant, Leigh	17	70.6%	4.00	3.58	4.33	4.25	4.09	4.00	4.01	4.58	4.08	4.58	4.42	4.42	4.25	4.39
	1223	Heiniger, Abigail	15	53.3%	4.38	4.13	4.50	4.25	4.00	3.88	4.19	4.88	4.63	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.63	4.71
	1223	Jausen, Paul	9	77.8%	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.50	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.71	5.00	4.86	4.83
	1223	Lamers, Sara	26	57.7%	4.27	4.27	4.40	3.93	3.87	3.73	4.08	4.60	4.27	4.20	3.87	4.33	4.13	4.23
	1223	Wilhelm, Stephanie	16	87.5%	4.50	4.36	4.36	4.29	4.29	4.07	4.31	4.71	4.57	4.43	4.43	4.64	4.36	4.52
	1223	Yezbick, Denise	16	68.8%	4.36	4.27	4.18	3.91	4.00	3.91	4.11	4.18	4.00	4.50	4.09	4.27	4.09	4.16
	3423	Finkelman, Louis	9	100.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.56	4.89	4.33	4.67	4.56	5.00	4.67	4.69
	3443	Brinker, Ludger	14	85.7%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.08	4.33	4.32	4.50	4.50	4.55	4.50	4.58	4.42	4.50
	3543	Jausen, Paul	12	50.0%	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.83	4.83	5.00	4.89	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	4523	Lamers, Sara	14	78.6%	4.27	3.64	4.50	3.64	4.00	4.09	4.00	4.64	4.00	4.36	3.82	4.00	4.27	4.18
	4923	Grant, Leigh	12	66.7%	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.75	3.75	4.13	4.27	5.00	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.71
MBA	5013	Cole, Matthew	11	45.5%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.40	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.80	4.70
	6013	Egleston, David	10	50.0%	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.27	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.70
	6023	Ford, Janet	28	39.3%	3.91	4.00	3.91	4.09	4.09	4.00	4.00	4.36	3.73	3.91	4.27	4.36	4.18	4.14
	6023	Marx, Thomas	17	41.2%	4.67	4.83	5.00	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.81	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.83	4.86	4.74
	6033	Tsebro, Pavlo	11	18.2%	3.00	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.58	5.00	3.00	5.00	3.50	4.50	3.50	3.92
	6043	Castelli, Patricia	28	42.9%	4.75	4.67	4.75	4.83	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.92	4.75	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.89
	6043	Marx, Thomas	26	42.3%	4.45	4.36	4.18	4.45	4.27	4.55	4.38	4.73	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.65
	6053	Kiani, Isar	15	86.7%	3.15	3.54	3.00	3.00	3.54	3.00	3.28	3.69	2.62	3.46	3.00	3.62	3.38	3.29
	6053	Mathur, Manisha	10	30.0%	2.00	3.00	2.33	3.00	2.33	2.67	2.56	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.33	2.67	2.83
	6063	Paryani, Kioumars	11	45.5%	3.40	3.60	3.00	3.40	3.60	3.40	3.40	3.40	3.00	3.20	3.60	3.00	3.20	3.23
	6063	Raghavan, Srikant	19	36.8%	5.00	4.71	4.43	4.71	4.14	4.29	4.55	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.71	5.00	4.80
	6073	Taj, Shahram	10	50.0%	4.00	3.40	3.40	4.20	4.20	3.80	3.83	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.70
	7023	Tsebro, Pavlo	9	33.3%	3.67	3.67	3.33	3.33	3.67	4.00	3.61	4.33	3.67	4.67	3.33	4.67	4.00	4.11
	7053	Castelli, Patricia	7	100.0%	4.29	4.14	3.86	4.29	4.14	4.29	4.17	4.43	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.57	4.60
	7063	Allen, Victor	22	40.9%	4.44	4.22	4.44	4.22	4.11	4.33	4.30	4.56	4.33	4.44	4.33	4.56	4.22	4.41
	7063	Emmitt, Timothy	17	47.1%	4.13	4.25	4.38	4.25	4.38	4.13	4.25	4.29	4.14	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.29
	7063	Hsi, Morris	13	38.5%	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.77	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.70
	7123	Marx, Thomas	7	57.1%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
	7173	Taleb, Hassan	15	100.0%	4.73	4.60	4.73	4.87	4.53	4.53	4.67	4.87	4.87	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.91
	5011T	Browne, Warren	13	15.4%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
	5021T	Browne, Warren	11	45.5%	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.00	4.60	4.40	4.50	5.00	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.63

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
	5041T	Mathur, Manisha	4	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	2.50	3.50	3.75	4.00	3.00	4.50	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.83
MCO	1003	Gaber, Jody	18	55.6%	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.80	5.00	4.90	4.90	4.90	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.97
	2003	Swantek, Beth	10	70.0%	4.29	4.29	4.00	3.57	4.43	4.29	4.14	4.29	3.86	4.71	3.71	4.43	4.29	4.21
	2543	Swantek, Beth	8	37.5%	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.83	4.33	4.67	5.00	4.33	4.67	5.00	4.67
	2563	Raj, Roop	8	50.0%	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.67	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.83
	3303	Swantek, Phillip	12	58.3%	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.14	4.14	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.36
	3633	Helterhoff, Holly	13	53.8%	4.14	4.29	4.14	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.26	4.71	4.29	4.43	4.57	4.29	4.43	4.45
	4073	Moore, Laura	10	40.0%	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.75	4.50	5.00	4.25	4.25	4.00	5.00	4.75	4.54
	4073	Offen, Gail	18	61.1%	4.55	4.45	4.45	4.36	4.64	4.55	4.50	4.91	4.73	4.82	4.64	4.82	4.64	4.76
MCS	35	Zendeli, Bashkim	16	43.8%	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.86	4.57	4.86	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.71
	44	Coval, Brad	12	50.0%	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.78	4.67	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.67	4.50
	51	Jadoun, Naela	34	44.1%	3.07	3.20	3.47	3.60	3.47	3.27	3.34	3.40	3.00	3.00	2.80	3.07	2.87	3.02
	51	Vaynberg, Yelena	17	35.3%	3.33	3.60	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.67	3.69	3.83	3.50	3.67	4.00	3.83	3.83	3.78
	54	Nelson, Patrick	21	52.4%	4.27	4.18	4.36	4.45	4.27	4.27	4.30	4.45	4.18	4.18	4.45	4.27	4.36	4.32
	74	Liddy, Richard	29	51.7%	3.93	3.93	4.20	4.20	4.00	4.00	4.06	4.27	3.80	3.80	4.13	4.00	3.87	3.98
	83	Timbre, Keith	26	42.3%	3.73	3.91	3.91	3.91	3.91	3.82	3.86	3.73	3.64	3.64	3.73	3.73	3.73	3.70
	93	Liddy, Richard	21	81.0%	4.29	4.12	4.41	4.47	4.18	4.18	4.27	4.35	4.00	4.18	4.47	3.88	4.18	4.18
	93	Timbre, Keith	10	60.0%	3.67	3.50	3.67	4.00	3.17	2.83	3.47	4.00	2.67	3.33	4.17	3.00	2.67	3.31
	1003	Daod, Nadera	7	28.6%	4.50	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.50	4.08	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.42
	1003	Shaba, Amal	18	88.9%	4.81	4.81	4.88	4.81	4.38	4.56	4.71	4.63	4.69	4.75	4.69	4.56	4.63	4.66
	1113	Husseini, Ghassan	22	45.5%	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.50	4.60	4.65	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.77
	1113	Rosen, Michael	17	35.3%	4.17	4.00	4.00	4.17	3.83	4.17	4.06	4.67	4.33	4.50	4.67	4.83	4.50	4.58
	1113	Zendeli, Bashkim	24	37.5%	4.67	4.44	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.63	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.78
	1123	Coval, Brad	10	60.0%	4.60	4.17	3.50	3.50	3.67	3.67	3.76	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.00	4.17	3.67	4.03
	1142	Azar, Ghassan	29	72.4%	3.67	4.00	4.10	3.48	4.10	4.00	3.89	4.29	3.71	4.19	3.86	4.19	4.00	4.04
	1142	Farrehi, Khashayar	23	60.9%	2.92	3.14	3.93	3.43	3.21	3.38	3.31	3.64	3.29	3.43	3.71	3.57	3.50	3.52
	1142	Goulding, Thomas	24	54.2%	4.15	3.83	4.38	4.23	4.31	4.38	4.22	4.62	4.08	4.15	4.38	4.69	4.00	4.32
	1142	Zurawski, Erik	23	52.2%	4.42	4.08	4.42	4.33	4.08	4.33	4.28	4.58	4.33	4.42	4.42	4.00	4.33	4.35
	1203	Vaynberg, Yelena	10	50.0%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.47	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.60
	1203	Youkhana, Samer	9	88.9%	2.88	3.63	3.50	3.25	3.25	2.75	3.21	3.25	2.88	3.38	3.25	4.00	3.25	3.33
	1214	Rosen, Michael	18	50.0%	4.00	4.00	3.78	3.89	3.89	4.00	3.93	4.33	3.44	4.13	3.89	4.67	3.67	4.01
	1214	Zendeli, Bashkim	29	44.8%	4.23	4.23	4.23	3.77	4.15	4.15	4.13	4.54	4.31	4.31	3.92	4.31	4.23	4.27
	1224	Cartwright, Christopher	28	46.4%	4.38	4.31	4.54	4.69	4.15	4.31	4.40	4.85	4.15	4.77	4.85	4.85	4.54	4.67
	1224	Humadi, Hasan	19	57.9%	4.45	4.45	4.55	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.47	4.82	4.73	4.55	4.64	4.82	4.73	4.71
	1414	Alyass, Kussiy	30	60.0%	2.78	3.17	3.22	2.65	2.88	2.67	2.88	3.17	2.33	2.56	2.29	2.17	2.41	2.49
	1414	Bukaita, Wisam	4	100.0%	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.25	4.33	4.75	4.50	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
	1414	Cartwright, Christopher	30	60.0%	4.61	4.72	4.67	4.72	4.44	4.50	4.61	4.78	4.67	4.61	4.61	4.83	4.72	4.70
	1414	Khoury, Michael	30	63.3%	4.21	4.11	4.11	4.06	3.89	3.95	4.05	4.74	4.26	4.37	4.11	4.63	4.32	4.40
	1414	Zhu, Guang-Chong	45	77.8%	4.60	4.51	4.63	4.51	4.40	4.40	4.51	4.89	4.54	4.57	4.57	4.83	4.69	4.68
	1424	Arlinghaus, Frank	27	44.4%	4.42	4.33	4.42	4.08	4.42	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.08	4.25	4.08	4.33	4.17	4.24
	1424	Bauer, Glen	26	80.8%	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.38	4.55	4.67	4.58	4.86	4.71	4.76	4.76	4.86	4.76	4.79
	1424	Vaynberg, Yelena	15	60.0%	4.33	4.44	4.33	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.41	4.22	4.11	4.56	4.56	4.56	4.44	4.41
	1424	Zendeli, Bashkim	24	79.2%	4.74	4.47	4.38	4.47	4.47	4.58	4.55	4.63	4.16	4.26	4.21	4.42	4.32	4.33
	1514	Azar, Ghassan	21	76.2%	3.69	3.63	3.88	3.44	3.47	3.50	3.60	4.13	3.50	3.81	3.44	3.81	3.56	3.71
	1514	Shaba, Amal	13	84.6%	4.09	4.00	4.45	3.91	4.00	4.18	4.11	4.55	4.09	4.09	4.18	4.18	4.00	4.18
	1524	Bassous, Richard	8	50.0%	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.71	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.25	4.50	4.71
	1623	Zurawski, Erik	13	53.8%	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.83	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.76
	1643	Mills, Hans	33	45.5%	3.93	4.40	4.53	4.40	4.40	4.13	4.30	4.33	4.13	4.20	4.40	4.53	4.13	4.29
	2113	Lowry, Pamela	17	64.7%	4.27	4.45	4.45	4.36	4.27	4.36	4.36	4.36	4.27	4.09	4.45	4.18	4.18	4.26
	2193	Evans, Bryce	15	66.7%	4.80	4.70	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.72	4.90	4.80	4.90	4.80	4.90	4.90	4.87

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

		Participation		The Course							The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
	2313	Abro, Sabah	10	50.0%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	4.93
	2414	Alyass, Kussiy	31	61.3%	3.58	3.53	3.58	3.74	3.74	3.47	3.61	3.53	3.16	3.58	3.16	3.05	3.00	3.25
	2414	Khoury, Michael	25	64.0%	4.50	4.63	4.63	4.56	4.50	4.56	4.56	4.88	4.56	4.63	4.69	4.75	4.63	4.69
	2414	Merscher, Michael	30	83.3%	4.48	4.36	4.56	4.48	4.60	4.48	4.49	4.68	4.48	4.32	4.36	4.16	4.48	4.41
	2414	Yu, Na	19	52.6%	4.20	4.30	4.20	4.00	3.80	3.90	4.07	4.20	3.90	4.10	4.30	4.30	4.10	4.15
	2423	Arlinghaus, Frank	25	64.0%	4.25	4.13	4.38	4.19	4.25	4.13	4.22	4.75	4.06	4.13	4.25	3.88	4.13	4.20
	2423	Bukaite, Wisam	13	100.0%	4.54	4.69	4.69	4.77	4.62	4.77	4.68	4.77	4.54	4.69	4.77	4.85	4.77	4.73
	2423	Nelson, Patrick	19	73.7%	4.50	4.14	4.64	4.21	4.43	4.29	4.37	4.79	4.36	4.50	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.51
	2423	Yu, Na	6	83.3%	4.60	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.80	4.40	4.53	4.40	3.80	4.60	4.40	4.20	4.00	4.23
	2514	Wang, Yin	29	48.3%	4.50	4.08	4.64	4.50	4.43	4.36	4.42	4.86	4.57	4.29	4.14	4.21	4.43	4.42
	2523	Khoury, Michael	15	53.3%	4.13	4.00	4.25	4.13	4.13	4.00	4.10	4.63	4.00	4.38	4.25	4.50	4.00	4.29
	2523	Zendeli, Bashkim	15	66.7%	4.10	3.90	4.00	3.90	4.30	3.90	4.02	3.67	3.80	4.00	3.80	3.44	3.56	3.68
	2534	Fawcett, David	13	61.5%	4.75	4.25	4.50	4.75	4.63	4.38	4.54	4.88	4.50	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.71
	3403	Humadi, Hasan	23	56.5%	4.31	4.46	4.54	4.23	4.15	3.92	4.27	4.69	4.08	4.38	4.23	4.62	4.23	4.37
	3403	Makar-Limanov, Olga	23	87.0%	2.55	2.05	3.00	2.70	2.95	2.35	2.60	2.55	2.30	2.50	2.40	2.30	1.85	2.32
	3403	Nelson, Patrick	27	63.0%	4.18	3.94	4.47	4.00	4.18	3.71	4.08	4.47	3.88	4.12	4.12	4.12	4.12	4.14
	3543	Faust, Eric	17	47.1%	4.50	4.71	4.75	4.50	4.63	4.63	4.60	4.75	4.13	4.88	4.38	4.63	4.50	4.54
	3563	Engalan, Joe	14	85.7%	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.17	4.25	4.33	4.35	4.75	4.50	4.67	4.42	4.75	4.58	4.61
	3603	Al Hamando, Mazin	12	41.7%	4.20	4.00	4.40	4.00	3.60	3.80	4.00	4.40	3.60	4.20	4.00	3.60	3.60	3.90
	3633	Farrehi, Khashayar	11	72.7%	3.38	2.88	3.50	3.50	3.13	3.13	3.25	3.25	3.38	3.63	3.75	3.75	3.13	3.48
	3663	Farrehi, Khashayar	20	65.0%	3.54	3.77	3.69	3.62	3.46	3.54	3.60	4.00	3.46	3.77	3.69	3.85	3.69	3.74
	3673	Haddad, Kamel	11	54.5%	4.83	3.83	5.00	4.83	4.17	4.67	4.56	5.00	4.50	4.83	5.00	4.50	4.67	4.75
	3683	Brown, David	8	62.5%	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.00	4.20	3.80	4.13	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.47
	3723	Turfe, Atallah	7	71.4%	3.80	3.20	3.60	3.00	3.40	3.40	3.40	4.00	3.80	3.80	4.00	3.60	3.60	3.80
	3863	Cartwright, Christopher	20	80.0%	4.50	4.56	4.56	4.44	4.44	4.50	4.50	4.63	4.44	4.44	4.50	4.63	4.50	4.52
	3863	Turfe, Atallah	19	57.9%	4.27	4.18	4.36	3.91	3.82	4.18	4.12	4.64	4.27	4.18	4.27	4.09	4.36	4.30
	3863	Zhu, Guang-Chong	9	77.8%	4.86	4.71	4.86	5.00	4.57	4.71	4.79	4.86	5.00	4.71	4.71	5.00	4.71	4.83
	4633	Ostrowski, David	13	30.8%	3.25	3.50	3.50	3.75	3.25	3.50	3.46	4.25	2.75	4.25	3.75	3.00	3.25	3.54
	4643	Baroody, Rami	10	80.0%	2.75	3.50	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.88	2.83	3.00	3.57	3.43	2.83	2.88	3.06
	4653	Cally, John	17	58.8%	3.60	2.70	3.50	3.60	3.40	3.30	3.35	3.60	3.40	3.60	3.10	3.00	3.20	3.32
	4663	El-Bathy, Mohammed	8	50.0%	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.25	4.75	4.67
	4833	Shamir, Lior	8	87.5%	4.50	4.67	4.50	3.67	4.17	4.33	4.26	4.29	4.43	4.29	4.50	4.29	4.29	4.33
	4993	Dillard, Terrance	3	33.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
	5403	Chung, Chan-Jin	2	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
	5603	Miller, John	7	57.1%	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.00	3.50	3.00	3.00	3.25	3.21
	5703	El-Bathy, Mohammed	13	69.2%	4.13	3.75	3.88	4.00	4.13	4.13	4.00	3.75	3.75	4.13	3.75	3.88	3.88	3.85
	5813	Fawcett, David	6	33.3%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.33
	5993	Dillard, Terrance	12	91.7%	3.82	4.00	4.09	3.36	3.55	3.73	3.76	3.45	3.09	2.82	3.27	3.18	3.18	3.17
	5993	Farrehi, Khashayar	13	84.6%	4.09	4.18	4.36	4.18	4.09	4.45	4.23	4.45	4.36	4.55	4.45	4.36	4.45	4.44
	6123	Givental, Gary	13	92.3%	4.25	4.42	4.42	4.25	4.17	4.42	4.31	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.67	4.58	4.60
	6323	Al Hamando, Mazin	17	76.5%	4.15	3.92	4.38	3.69	4.31	4.08	4.09	4.15	4.15	4.31	4.08	4.15	4.15	4.17
	6623	Ostrowski, David	13	53.8%	4.14	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.29	4.17	4.45	4.29	4.29	4.86	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.50
	7013	Shamir, Lior	5	80.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.71
	7033	Shamir, Lior	5	80.0%	4.75	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.00	4.25	4.35	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.67
	MET	5733	Bowles, Steffanie	4	25.0%	3.00	5.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	3.67	3.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.83
		6203	Deloose, Stacey	7	14.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
		6213	Lowry, Pamela	7	14.3%	4.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.67	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
		6223	Schneider, Robert	8	37.5%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.78	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.72
	MGT	2113	Evans, Karen	22	40.9%	4.78	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.74	4.56	4.44	4.56	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.52
		2113	Raghavan, Padmini	6	50.0%	3.33	4.00	4.33	3.67	4.00	3.89	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.50
		2203	Shun, Ronald	12	50.0%	4.33	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.39	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	5.00	4.86

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

		Participation		The Course							The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
MGT	2203	Stavros, Jacqueline	19	47.4%	4.89	4.89	5.00	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.87	4.89	4.89	5.00	4.89	5.00	4.94	
	3013	Gadd, Kenneth	11	63.6%	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.60	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.69	
	3033	Deska, Thomas	15	46.7%	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	
	3103	Paryani, Kioumars	26	84.6%	3.64	3.77	4.00	3.73	3.68	3.59	3.73	3.91	3.68	3.86	3.64	3.77	3.77	
	3113	Raghavan, Srikant	27	48.1%	4.38	4.38	4.31	4.54	4.25	4.15	4.34	4.38	4.15	4.38	4.23	4.15	4.08	
	4213	Omrani, Massood	9	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	
	8073	Stavros, Jacqueline	9	55.6%	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.79	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92	
	8083	Bush, Richard	5	100.0%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.40	4.60	4.70	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
	8093	Kohnke, Anne	10	40.0%	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.50	3.75	4.25	4.17	4.75	3.75	3.50	3.75	4.25	4.00	
MKT	2013	Kiani, Isar	23	87.0%	3.85	3.90	3.75	3.65	3.65	3.75	3.76	3.90	3.60	3.85	3.60	4.00	3.70	
	2013	Rutledge, Daniel	20	20.0%	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.00	4.25	4.21	4.50	3.50	4.25	4.00	4.00	3.75	
MSE	5183	Mynderse, James	15	93.3%	4.71	4.79	4.79	4.50	4.71	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.29	4.36	4.71	4.64	
PHY	1154	Bozin, Keith	17	64.7%	3.73	4.09	4.09	3.91	3.91	3.82	3.92	4.36	3.82	3.91	3.91	4.45	3.82	
	1154	Carduner, Keith	15	60.0%	4.00	4.00	3.67	3.78	3.78	3.44	3.78	4.33	4.22	4.33	4.11	3.78	4.00	
	2213	Ahmadi, Parviz	19	68.4%	3.67	3.50	3.67	3.83	3.67	4.00	3.72	4.31	3.46	3.31	3.54	3.92	3.31	
	2213	Raman, Jyothi	19	57.9%	3.55	3.73	3.64	3.73	3.55	3.36	3.59	3.64	3.45	3.73	4.09	3.45	3.55	
	2221	Gonderinger, Matthew	7	85.7%	4.00	4.17	4.00	4.00	3.83	3.83	3.97	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.67	3.50	3.83	
	2221	Grewer, Royce	2	100.0%	3.50	3.50	3.50	4.00	3.50	3.50	3.58	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
	2221	Rands, Marilyn	3	66.7%	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.08	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.00	
	2221	Schneider, Scott	5	80.0%	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.42	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.75	
	2221	Tarini, Laurence	7	28.6%	3.00	3.50	4.00	2.50	2.50	2.50	3.00	3.50	3.00	2.50	3.00	2.00	2.50	
	2221	Zhou, Changgong	10	60.0%	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.14	4.33	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.00	
	2223	Pokharel, Rajendra	10	70.0%	3.86	3.83	4.00	4.29	4.00	3.57	3.93	4.43	3.57	4.17	4.00	4.29	3.43	
	2223	Rands, Marilyn	12	50.0%	4.33	4.17	4.00	4.00	3.83	3.67	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.00	3.80	4.17	3.83	
	2231	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	27	70.4%	4.37	4.37	4.37	4.26	4.05	4.26	4.28	4.68	4.37	4.47	4.32	4.53	4.47	
	2413	Ahmadi, Parviz	23	78.3%	4.61	4.22	4.56	4.33	4.50	4.67	4.48	4.78	4.22	4.22	4.28	4.78	4.44	
	2413	Pokharel, Rajendra	26	76.9%	3.50	3.90	3.70	3.55	3.50	3.20	3.56	3.70	2.80	3.15	3.25	3.60	3.00	
	2413	Schneider, Scott	6	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
	2413	Zhou, Changgong	56	78.6%	3.93	3.91	3.77	3.73	3.55	3.50	3.73	4.50	3.70	3.59	3.50	3.95	3.56	
	2421	Gonderinger, Matthew	11	90.9%	4.40	4.30	4.10	4.30	4.20	4.40	4.28	4.40	4.20	4.30	4.20	4.20	4.20	
	2421	Grewer, Royce	14	78.6%	4.64	4.36	4.27	4.73	4.64	4.30	4.49	4.82	4.73	4.82	4.82	4.91	4.82	
	2421	Pokharel, Rajendra	14	78.6%	4.09	4.27	4.09	4.18	3.73	3.91	4.05	3.91	3.18	3.45	3.82	3.73	3.18	
	2421	Rands, Marilyn	13	100.0%	4.31	4.17	4.31	4.23	4.00	3.92	4.16	3.92	4.15	4.17	4.23	3.77	4.08	
	2421	Schneider, Scott	14	85.7%	4.58	4.58	4.50	4.42	4.42	4.58	4.53	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.75	4.92	
	2421	Tarini, Laurence	22	81.8%	4.44	4.33	4.28	4.33	4.17	4.11	4.28	4.56	4.50	4.50	4.39	4.44	4.39	
	2421	Zhou, Changgong	27	74.1%	4.35	4.53	4.42	4.40	4.10	4.26	4.32	4.50	4.25	4.05	4.15	4.15	4.05	
	2423	Moschelli, George	51	56.9%	3.90	3.79	3.66	3.96	4.00	3.76	3.82	4.34	3.72	4.00	4.07	4.38	3.79	
	2431	Bozin, Keith	19	68.4%	4.08	4.38	4.38	4.00	4.23	4.15	4.21	4.54	4.00	4.15	4.08	4.38	4.15	
2431	Moschelli, George	18	55.6%	4.10	4.00	4.00	4.30	3.60	4.00	4.00	4.30	4.40	4.40	4.30	4.20	4.30		
3414	Schneider, Scott	4	75.0%	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.67	3.67	4.67	4.39	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00		
3653	Schneider, Scott	5	40.0%	4.50	4.50	4.00	3.50	3.50	4.50	4.08	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50		
PSC	1161	Zhou, Changgong	2	100.0%	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	5.00	4.58	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
PSY	1213	Anthony, Bart	10	70.0%	3.43	3.71	3.86	3.71	3.71	3.86	3.71	4.00	3.57	3.29	3.14	3.29	3.43	
	1213	Delogu, Franco	20	40.0%	4.25	4.38	4.75	4.38	4.38	4.63	4.46	4.75	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.75	4.50	
	1213	Watson, Donna	16	43.8%	4.43	4.00	4.00	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.57	4.57	4.00	4.43	4.14	4.31	
	2113	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	13	76.9%	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.80	4.70	4.80	4.70	4.73	
	2613	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	15	66.7%	5.00	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.80	4.80	4.78	
	3323	Molloy, Sara	13	84.6%	4.45	4.36	4.00	4.36	4.36	4.09	4.27	4.18	4.27	4.36	4.36	4.36	4.27	

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
PSY	3413	Delogu, Franco	8	75.0%	3.33	4.17	4.00	3.00	3.50	4.00	3.67	5.00	4.17	4.33	3.83	5.00	4.50	4.47
	3623	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	19	63.2%	4.75	4.58	4.75	4.75	4.42	4.67	4.65	4.92	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.92	4.86
	4633	Luria, Debra	6	83.3%	4.00	4.20	4.20	4.20	3.80	4.00	4.07	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.80	4.20	4.57
RES	7043	Cole, Matthew	4	100.0%	4.25	5.00	5.00	4.25	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.25	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.79
SAP	2994	McConnell, Davey	11	54.5%	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.00	3.67	4.00	4.03	4.33	4.17	4.33	4.00	4.50	4.33	4.28
SPN	2913	McArdle, James	6	66.7%	3.50	3.75	3.75	4.00	2.75	3.50	3.54	4.75	3.75	3.50	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.88
SSC	2303	Kler, Marlene	72	56.9%	4.24	4.23	4.29	4.20	4.17	4.15	4.21	4.54	4.29	4.21	4.32	4.20	4.27	4.30
	2303	Mulder, Daniel	45	64.4%	4.31	4.31	4.31	4.48	4.34	4.28	4.34	4.69	4.45	4.48	4.55	4.69	4.48	4.56
	2303	Reed, Jason	21	71.4%	4.33	4.13	4.27	4.07	4.00	4.13	4.16	4.60	4.33	4.47	4.20	4.50	4.33	4.40
	2413	Powell, Jeffrey	19	84.2%	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.44	4.25	4.40	4.40	4.94	4.75	4.73	4.75	4.81	4.81	4.80
	2413	Sledge, James	20	65.0%	4.69	4.77	4.85	4.77	4.77	4.77	4.77	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92
	2413	Stern, Marvin	39	69.2%	3.81	3.92	3.93	3.59	3.89	3.81	3.82	4.56	4.04	4.22	3.96	4.41	4.00	4.20
	2413	Vogt, Philip	26	76.9%	4.25	4.40	4.15	4.05	4.20	3.89	4.17	4.75	4.20	3.95	4.05	4.00	3.75	4.11
	2413	Wilhelm, Stephanie	19	47.4%	4.56	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.56	4.69	5.00	4.78	4.78	4.78	5.00	4.89	4.87
	2423	Mansour, Malinda	14	71.4%	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.30	4.40	4.60	4.45	4.80	4.70	4.90	4.60	4.90	4.80	4.78
	2423	Powell, Jeffrey	20	65.0%	4.23	4.31	4.00	4.23	4.31	4.31	4.23	4.54	4.38	4.23	4.38	4.23	4.42	4.36
	2423	Stern, Marvin	31	74.2%	3.65	3.83	3.87	3.48	3.74	3.70	3.71	4.13	3.70	4.00	3.91	4.39	3.61	3.95
	2423	Wirth, Daniel	30	83.3%	4.36	4.40	4.56	4.52	4.20	4.40	4.41	4.48	4.52	4.52	4.48	4.60	4.48	4.51
	2423	Yezbick, Denise	10	50.0%	4.00	4.20	4.40	4.20	3.80	4.20	4.13	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.20	4.37
	3133	Vogt, Philip	5	60.0%	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.61
3163	Mansour, Malinda	21	61.9%	4.46	4.54	4.54	4.69	4.38	4.54	4.53	4.62	4.69	4.85	4.62	4.85	4.77	4.73	
3513	Kler, Marlene	7	100.0%	4.29	4.14	4.00	4.00	4.14	4.43	4.17	4.57	4.29	4.57	4.29	4.57	4.57	4.48	
4733	Barrett, Jason	21	66.7%	4.57	4.64	4.38	4.43	4.57	4.36	4.50	4.86	4.71	4.64	4.64	4.86	4.79	4.75	
6013	Shamir, Mirit	4	50.0%	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.67	
TAS	1013	Nelson, Robert	12	8.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	1033	Nelson, Robert	5	60.0%	4.00	3.67	3.67	3.00	2.67	3.67	3.44	4.33	4.33	5.00	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.50
	2033	Breest, Christopher	9	88.9%	4.63	4.13	4.25	3.75	4.13	4.13	4.17	4.75	4.13	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.27
	3013	Blau, Ben	9	100.0%	4.78	4.67	4.78	3.89	4.67	4.33	4.52	4.89	4.11	3.78	3.33	4.56	3.89	4.09
	3053	Blau, Ben	7	85.7%	3.67	3.83	4.00	2.50	3.33	3.67	3.50	4.50	3.50	3.67	2.33	3.83	3.83	3.61
	4133	Giese, Dieter	10	90.0%	3.89	4.33	4.56	3.89	4.00	4.33	4.17	4.67	4.11	4.56	4.11	4.67	4.33	4.41
TCE	2073	Lamus, Christopher	9	55.6%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.67	4.80	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.67
	2143	Hammond, Jason	12	58.3%	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.29	4.71	4.86	4.67	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.83
	3053	Cook, Kenneth	7	71.4%	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.60	5.00	4.60	4.60	4.60	5.00	4.40	4.70
	4093	Carnovale, Frank	8	87.5%	3.86	4.29	4.43	3.67	4.29	3.86	4.10	3.57	3.86	3.57	4.20	4.14	4.14	3.92
	4113	Hammond, Jason	7	57.1%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.96	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	4213	Carnovale, Frank	5	20.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
TEE	2033	Power, Joseph	8	87.5%	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.57	4.86	4.81	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	2093	Gaur, Deepali	9	77.8%	3.29	3.86	3.71	3.71	3.14	3.43	3.52	3.43	3.00	3.29	3.43	3.57	2.71	3.24
	3103	Cook, Kenneth	10	50.0%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.63	4.80	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.57
	4224	Gaur, Deepali	5	100.0%	4.00	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.20	4.40	3.60	4.00	3.80	3.60	3.80	3.86
TIE	3063	Shamamy, Patricia	9	55.6%	4.20	4.20	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.07	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.00	4.13
	3163	Abro, Sabah	17	76.5%	4.54	4.38	4.38	4.46	4.46	4.38	4.44	4.77	4.62	4.62	4.62	4.69	4.62	4.65
	3203	Shamamy, Patricia	18	61.1%	4.55	4.55	4.55	4.64	4.00	4.09	4.39	4.18	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.27	4.09	4.32
	4115	Cook, Kenneth	13	92.3%	4.92	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.75	4.92	4.82	5.00	4.92	4.92	4.75	4.92	4.92	4.90

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results

Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
TME	1023	Cuper, Jerry	20	75.0%	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.67	4.67	4.73	4.71	4.87	4.73	4.80	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.73
	3113	Shamamy, Patricia	15	46.7%	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.64	4.57	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.64
	3333	Abro, Sabah	18	77.8%	4.29	3.31	4.36	4.29	4.36	4.21	4.15	4.79	4.64	4.64	4.71	4.79	4.64	4.70
	4103	Shamamy, Patricia	16	50.0%	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.56	4.38	4.50	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.63	4.52
	4413	Cuper, Jerry	7	71.4%	4.60	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.60	4.60	4.77	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.90
Total/Average			11,715	62.3%	4.21	4.20	4.27	4.16	4.16	4.14	4.19	4.47	4.21	4.33	4.26	4.38	4.28	4.32